

Summary of Zorin's statement to the Security Council (from rough notes)

## Zorin's reaction to Stevenson's statement:

Paucity of arguments

Helplessness of USG to present a good case

Failure to mention US bases in 35 countries

False presentation of Cuban revolution and of US relations with Latin America

Nothing said about political, legal, or moral grounds ~~for~~ in justification of US actions against Cuba. Stevenson created a smokescreen and a diversion away from substance of matter.

Zorin, in speaking of the substance of the matter, largely rehearsed the official statement of the Soviet Government issued this morning. In a lengthy diatribe against US policy, he asserted that US policy and actions are themselves proof of Cuba's fears and a justification of Cuba's efforts at preparedness.

Now, in seeking a justification of ~~New~~ US aggression against Cuba, the US Government used the false and slanderous allegation of offensive rocket bases in Cuba. In denying US accusation of Soviet aggressive weapons in Cuba, Zorin ~~repeated the same phraseology~~ used the same phraseology as the earlier Soviet statement, which spoke of the defensive purpose of Soviet arms in Cuba. Zorin denied that the Soviet Union planned to use Cuba for aggressive purposes, said that Soviet Union, ~~unlike~~ unlike the United States, did not need foreign bases. He denied that Cuba is a threat to US security, and ridiculed Stevenson's failure to produce proof or evidence of presence of offensive rocket bases in Cuba.

Zorin charged that US presented Security Council with a fait accompli consisting of aggressive acts, that US is prepared to go to extent of unleashing thermomuclear war to enforce its criminal policies, that a blockade

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR

REVIEWED BY

(X) [Signature]

DATE 2/16/61

False presentation of Cuban revolution and of US relations with Latin America

Nothing said about political, legal, or moral grounds ~~for~~ in justification of US actions against Cuba. Stevenson created a smokescreen and a diversion away from substance of matter.

Zorin, in speaking of the substance of the matter, largely rehashed the official statement of the Soviet Government issued this morning. In a lengthy diatribe against US policy, he asserted that US policy and actions are themselves proof of Cuba's fears and a justification of Cuba's efforts at preparedness.

Now, in seeking a justification of ~~New~~ US aggression against Cuba, the US Government used the false and slanderous allegation of offensive rocket bases in Cuba. In denying US accusation of Soviet aggressive weapons in Cuba, Zorin ~~repeated the same phraseology~~ used the same phraseology as the earlier Soviet statement, which spoke of the defensive purpose of Soviet arms in Cuba. Zorin denied that the Soviet Union planned to use Cuba for aggressive purposes, said that Soviet Union, ~~unlike~~ unlike the United States, did not need foreign bases. He denied that Cuba is a threat to US security, and ridiculed Stevenson's failure to produce proof or evidence of presence of offensive rocket bases in Cuba.

Zorin charged that US presented Security Council with a fait accompli consisting of aggressive acts, that US is prepared to go to extent of unleashing thermonuclear war to enforce its criminal policies, that a blockade

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/123

REVIEWED BY

DATE

CLASSIFIED BY

EXEMPTED FROM

FOUR

CLASSIFIED BY

DATE

DOWNGRADE TO

OR

GRADE

is an arbitrary, aggressive, piratical act and a direct challenge to the UN, and the US violated the prerogative the UN is imposing the blockade. The US action is an open violation of UN Charter which forbids force or threat of use of force. The United States called for the Security Council meeting hypocritically, because it knew it would be called to appear there anyway to answer charges of aggression. The US does not have the right to punish a country for establishing friendly relations with the Soviet Union.

According to Zorin said that the Security Council is faced with the following situation:

1. The US blockade is piracy
2. The US defense of its actions is a complete fabrication  
From the day of its founding revolutionary Cuba faced US hostility and intervention.
3. The Soviet Government advocated the removal of all ~~foreign~~ military bases ~~and~~ located on foreign soil. The USSR is willing to have this removal carried out under the observation of UN inspectors.
4. No state can dictate what another state feels it needs for self defense.
5. US is unjustifiably demanding to force its policy on Cuba by force.
6. US position is at variance with UN Charter & norms of international law.
7. The Soviet Government appeals to all peoples and all governments to come to the defense of the United Nations and its principles.
8. The Soviet Government calls for a halt to all US aggressive measures against Cuba.

He ends, the Soviet Government proposes the following draft resolution, considering the violation of the UN Charter of the US, and

the UN's recognition that all member states are entitled to self defense and protection from outside interference:

1. Condemnation of US actions
2. Repeal by US Government of its policy of interfering with ship movements.
3. Halt by US with all interference in domestic affairs of Cuba.
4. US to negotiate with <sup>2</sup>Cuba to normalize relations, remove threat of war.
5. USSR opposes US draft resolution.

"Small heroic Cuba is not alone. Cuba can count on support and assistance of the Soviet Union."